

# Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 27 April 2023 Update version, previously published on : 1 January 2005

# **Finland**

# Kauhaneva - Pohjankangas National Park



Designation date 2 February 2004
Site number 1511
Coordinates 63°11'N 23°25'11

Coordinates 62°11'N 22°25'13"E

Area 6 849,00 ha

# Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

# 1 - Summary

#### Summary

The mires of Kauhaneva form the largest and most representative raised bog area in Southern Ostrobothnia and one of the key areas for protecting ombrotrophic bogs. Forests are mainly dry pine forests. Large areas of forests are situated on eskers. Kauhaneva is a diverse wetland complex with different mire types and mire bird fauna is well represented. It is an important staging area for geese and cranes. The cultural values of the site include the Kyrönkankaantie medieval road going through the site, and the site is a popular location for recreation. Kauhaneva - Pohjankangas National Park is an important mire conservatiosn site in southern Finland, comprising mostly natural mire complexes, but also some degraded and restored parts. The peat deposits and the carbon store within the peat is significant.

There are 38 archaeological sites within this Ramsar site. The earliest of them are pits for hunting forest reindeer and housepits, dating to the Stone Age. The tar pits are traces of an economically important historical livelihood, tar burning. The most prominent archaeological site is the Medieval road Kyrönkankaantie that once was the only land route from Southern Finland to Ostrobothnia. The road is still in use as a recreational route. It crosses the mire of Kauhaneva and there is a possibility of preserved submerged road layers. A local folklore tradition associated to the kettle hole of Lapinkaivo, recorded in 1674, relates to an early (later disappeared) Sami settlement in the region.

# 2 - Data & location

#### 2.1 - Formal data

2.1.1	- Name	and a	ddress	of the	compiler	of this	RIS
-------	--------	-------	--------	--------	----------	---------	-----

Responsible compiler

Postal address Finnish Environment Institute (SYKE), Natural Environment Centre

Postal address FI-00251

National Ramsar Administrative Authority

Institution/agency Metsähallitus, Parks and Wildlife Finland

PO Box 94
FI-01301 Vantaa
Finland

2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year 2010

To year 2017

2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or Spanish)

Kauhaneva - Pohjankangas National Park

Unofficial name (optional)

Kauhanevan – Pohjankankaan kansallispuisto

2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

(Update) A. Changes to Site boundary Yes O No (Update) B. Changes to Site area

(Update) For secretariat only. This update is an extension □

2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

(Update) 6b i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS?

(Update) Optional text box to provide further information

Wetland types and species, and ecosystem services have been reassessed according to current knowledge, but there are no changes to the ecological character.

#### 2.2 - Site location

# 2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

b) Digital map/image

<2 file(s) uploaded>

Former maps 0

Boundaries description

The site follows the boundaries of the Natura 2000 sites Fl0800002 Kauhaneva - Pohjankangas SPA and Fl0800003 Kauhaneva - Pohjankangas SAC (overlapping).

### 2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does the site lie?

Southern Ostrobothnia, Satakunta

b) What is the nearest town or population Kauhajoki / Honkajoki / Karvia

### 2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

- a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries? Yes O No  $\ensuremath{\bullet}$
- b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party?

#### 2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha): 6849

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from 6855.834

GIS boundaries

### 2.2.5 - Biogeography

# Biogeographic regions

Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region
EU biogeographic regionalization	Boreal region
Other scheme (provide name below)	Middle boreal forest vegetation zone

#### Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

Vegetation zones of Finland according to Ruuhijärvi et al. 2000.

# 3 - Why is the Site important?

### 3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

#### Criterion 1: Representative, rare or unique natural or near-natural wetland types

Virgin mires play an important role in maintenance of water quality. Kauhaneva - Pohjankangas mires are Hydrological services provided located in the headwater section between two River Basins, and the mires are influeced by large groundwater aguifers within eskers that border the mires.

> As an active and mostly pristine peat bog, the site is very valuable for carbon storage and flood control. The site also harbours biodiversity and high cultural values, and serves as a source of inspiration and recreation.

Kauhaneva - Pohiankangas mires are considered very important for carbon storage, comprising natural. undisturbed parts but also degraded and nowadays largely restored parts, where the carbon leakage after drainage has been dimished or stopped altogether. Mire restoration has been initially implemented for biodiversity purposes, but restoration also serves to protect the carbon stores in the peat, Hence, the paragraph 121 under Criterion 1 in the Ramsar COP11 Resolution XI.8. Annex 2 is applied, stating that the mires of Kauhaneva - Pohjankangas:

Other ecosystem services provided

"vi) have a major hydrological influence in the context of at least regional climate regulation or stability (e.g., certain areas of cloud-forest or rainforest, wetlands or wetland complexes in semi-arid, arid or desert areas, tundra, peatland, coastal or other wetland systems acting as sinks for carbon, etc.)"

Currently, the Ramsar site comprises peatland as follows:

- 4662 ha of peatland in total, of which
- 339 ha is still in drained state, drainage mostly for forestry implemented 1970-1985
- 582 ha of restored mires, implemented 1996-2014.

The site is aNational Park with tracks and guidance, and information about the peatland restoration is available for visitors.

The site is a representative example of natural and near-natural wetland types (peatlands) in the EU Other reasons Boreal region, included in the Natura 2000 Network, designated both as SPA and SCI with two priority natural wetland habitat types (active raised bogs, aapa mires).

- Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities
- Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions

# 3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

<no data available>

### 3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Phylum	Scientific name	Species qualifies under criterion u		Pop. Size	Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justificati	ion
--------	-----------------	-------------------------------------	--	--------------	---------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	---------------------	-------------------	--------------	-------------	-----

CHORDATA /			3 5 7 8	ze Period of pop. Est. 1)	ence Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
MAMMALIA Can									
CHORDATA /	nis lupus				LC	$\checkmark$		National Red List - VU, Habitats Directive - Annexes IV (II)	
MAMMALIA Lynx	nx lynx				LC			Habitats Directive - Annexes IV (II)	
Birds									
CHORDATA / Aeg	golius funereus				LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
CHORDATA / Anso					LC			National Red List - VU	The Site supports this species during migratory periods.
CHORDATA / Ayth	thya fuligula	Ø 0 0 0 0			LC			National Red List - EN	
CHORDATA / Bub	bo bubo	Ø000			LC			National Red List - EN; EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
	ibundus							National Red List - VU	
CHORDATA / Circ	rcus cyaneus	Ø000			LC			National Red List - VU; EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
CHORDATA / Cygl	gnus cygnus	Ø 0 0 0 0			LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
CHORDATA / Emb	nberiza rtulana	Ø0000			LC			National Red List - EN; EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
CHORDATA / Emb	nberiza rustica	Ø0000			VU				
CHORDATA / Gav	via arctica				LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	The Site supports this species during migratory periods.
	sserinum				LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
CHORDATA / Grus	us grus				LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	The Site supports this species during migratory periods.
	nutus	Ø0000			LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
CHORDATA / Laru	rus fuscus				LC			National Red List - EN	The Site supports this species during migratory periods.
CHORDATA / Limo					NT			National Red List - EN	
CHORDATA / Lulle	IIula arborea				LC			National Red List - VU; EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
CHORDATA/ AVES					LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
CHORDATA / Perr	rnis apivorus				LC			National Red List - EN; EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
CHORDATA / Philo AVES pugi					LC			National Red List - CR EU Birds Directive - Annex I	The Site supports this species during migratory periods.
CHORDATA / Picco AVES trida	coides dactylus				LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
CHORDATA / Pluv		22000			LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	The Site supports this species during migratory periods.
CHORDATA / Pode	diceps auritus	Ø 0 0 0 0			VU			National Red List - EN; EU Birds Directive - Annex I	
CHORDATA / Ster	erna hirundo	22000			LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	The Site supports this species during migratory periods.
CHORDATA / Tetr		Ø0000			LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	

Phylum	Scientific name	Species contributes under criterion 3 5 7 8	Size	Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA / AVES	Tringa glareola					LC			EU Birds Directive - Annex I	The Site supports this species during migratory periods.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Tringa totanus	0000				LC			National Red List - VU	

<sup>1)</sup> Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Name of ecological community	Community qualifies under Criterion 2?	Description	Justification
Bog woodland	<b>2</b>		Habitats Directive - Annex I
Oligotrophic waters containing very few minerals of sandy plains (Littorelletalia uniflorae)	Ø		Habitats Directive - Annex I
Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds	<b>2</b>		Habitats Directive - Annex I
Fennoscandian natural rivers	<b>2</b>		Habitats Directive - Annex I
Water courses of plain to montane levels with Ranunculion fluitantis and Callitricho- Batrachion vegetation	Ø		Habitats Directive - Annex I
Active raised bogs	<b>2</b>		Habitats Directive - Annex I
Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration	<b>2</b>		Habitats Directive - Annex I
Transition mires and quaking bogs	<b>2</b>		Habitats Directive - Annex I
Fennoscandian springs and springfens	<b>2</b>		Habitats Directive - Annex I
Alkaline fens	<b>2</b>		Habitats Directive - Annex I
Aapa mires	<b>2</b>		Habitats Directive - Annex I

# 4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

## 4.1 - Ecological character

The site represents the Mire vegetation region of Concentric bogs. The area includes ca. 2 900 ha of mires and ca. 60 ha of water. The wetland is composed of several closely situated mires, of which the largest is Kauhaneva, an exceptionally well developed raised bog with large minerotrophic flark and sedge (Carex spp.) fens, small brooks and tens of ponds and pools. Aapa mires are uncommon. Pohjankangas and Nummikangas are esker formations beside the mires, characterized by barren Pine (Pinus sylvestris) heath forests. The medieval Kyrönkangas road has lead people along the eskers and over the mires for centuries and the site is rich with archaeological records. The eskers are a very important regional source for groundwater, which has an ecologically remarkable influence in certain parts of the peatland as well as streams flowing through and out of the mire complex. The esker formation of Kolmentuulenlakki includes brook beds with impressive topography.

### 4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

#### Inland wetlands

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
Fresh water > Flowing water >> M: Permanent rivers/ streams/ creeks		3		Representative
Fresh water > Lakes and pools  >> O: Permanent freshwater lakes		3		
Fresh water > Marshes on peat soils >> U: Permanent Non- forested peatlands		1		Representative
Fresh water > Marshes on peat soils >> Xp: Permanent Forested peatlands		2		Representative
Fresh water > Flowing water >> Y: Permanent Freshwater springs; oases		4		Representative

### 4.3 - Biological components

#### 4.3.1 - Plant species

<no data available>

#### 4.3.2 - Animal species

<no data available>

#### 4.4 - Physical components

#### 4.4.1 - Climate

Climatic region	Subregion
D: Moist Mid-Latitude climate with cold winters	Dfc: Subarctic (Severe winter, no dry season, cool summer)

#### 4.4.2 - Geomorphic setting

+.2 - Ocomorphic scurig
a) Minimum elevation above sea level (in metres)
a) Maximum elevation above sea level (in metres)
Entire river basin
Upper part of river basin 🗷
Middle part of river basin
Lower part of river basin
More than one river basin

RIS for Site no. 1511,	Kauhaneva - Pohjankan	gas National Park, Fin	land
	No	tin river basin □	
		Coastal	
Please name the river basin	or basins. If the site lies in a		the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean.
		·	asins, mostly draining into the latter.
4.40.00			
4.4.3 - Soil		_	
		Mineral 🗹	
	<sup>(Update)</sup> Changes	at RIS update No change	Increase O Decrease O Unknown O
		Organic 🗹	
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change	Increase O Decrease O Unknown O
	No availab	le information $\square$	
	change as a result of changin		
	ons (e.g., increased salinity or	acidification)?	
Please provide further inform		round moraine and glad	cifluvial gravel and sand.
Mairily peat with small	er areas or glacigeric g	Tourid moranie and glad	miuviai gravei anu sanu.
4.4.4 - Water regime			
Water permanence			
Presence? Usually permanent water	Changes at RIS update		
present			
Usually seasonal, ephemeral or intermittent			
water present			
Source of water that maintain			
Presence? Water inputs from	Predominant water source	Changes at RIS update	
groundwater Water inputs from		No change	
precipitation	✓	No change	
Water destination			
Presence?	Changes at RIS update		
To downstream catchment Feeds groundwater	No change No change		
Stability of water regime Presence?	Changes at RIS update		
Water levels largely stable	unknown		
Please add any comments	on the water regime and its de	sterminants (if relevant) Lise	this box to explain sites with complex hydrology:
-			ost the aquifers in the area are within the site, and the discharging
	icant effect on the mires		
Depth of water: Shallo	ow. Water-level high in sp	oring because of meltin	g snow.
			-
4.4.5 - Sediment regim	е		
	Sediment reg	jime unknown 🗹	
4.4.6 - Water pH			
		Unknown 🗹	
4.4.7 - Water salinity			
	F	resh (<0.5 g/l) 🗹	
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change	Increase O Decrease O Unknown O
		Unknown	
4.4.8 - Dissolved or sus	spended nutrients in wat	er	
		Dystrophic 🗹	
	(Update) Changes	at DIS undata. Na abanga	Ingrana O Degrana O Hakagua O

Unknown					
Please provide further information on dissolved or suspended nutrients (optional):					
Mire waters dystrophic.					

#### 4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect the Site

RIS for Site no. 1511, Kauhaneva - Pohjankangas National Park, Finland

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) broadly similar O ii) significantly different OSurrounding area has greater urbanisation or development Surrounding area has higher human population density lacksquareSurrounding area has more intensive agricultural use

Surrounding area has significantly different land cover or habitat types Please describe other ways in which the surrounding area is different:

The Ramsar site is uninhabited, settlements are found in the surrounding area. The site is protected nature with pristine and valuable peatlands and forests, some of which need restoration. Land use outside the site is intensive, including forestry, peat mining, and agriculture.

# 4.5 - Ecosystem services

#### 4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Provisioning Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Fresh water	Drinking water for humans and/or livestock	High

Regulating Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Maintenance of hydrological regimes	Groundwater recharge and discharge	High
Climate regulation	Regulation of greenhouse gases, temperature, precipitation and other climactic processes	High
Hazard reduction	Flood control, flood storage	Medium

#### **Cultural Services**

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance	
Recreation and tourism	Nature observation and nature-based tourism	Low	
Recreation and tourism	Picnics, outings, touring	Medium	
Spiritual and inspirational	Aesthetic and sense of place values	High	
Spiritual and inspirational	Cultural heritage (historical and archaeological)	High	
Scientific and educational	Important knowledge systems, importance for research (scientific reference area or site)	Low	
Scientific and educational	Major scientific study site	Low	

**Supporting Services** 

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance	
Biodiversity	Supports a variety of all life forms including plants, animals and microorganizms, the genes they contain, and the ecosystems of which they form a part	High	
Soil formation	Accumulation of organic matter	Medium	
Nutrient cycling	Carbon storage/sequestration	High	

#### Other ecosystem service(s) not included above:

Kolmentuulenlakki Esker forms a part of a nationally important landscape area. A medieval trade route winds along the eskers. Significant values also include scientific research, birdwatching and outdoor recreation.

Within the site:	1000s
Outside the site:	1000s

Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of Yes O No O Unknown 

One of the economic valuation of Yes O No O Unknown

# 4.6 - Ecological processes

RIS for Site no. 1511, Kauhaneva - Pohjankangas National Park, Finland

their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological  $\ \square$ 

character of the wetland

<no data available>

# 5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

# 5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

#### 5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

		wn		

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
National/Federal government	<b>/</b>	

#### Private ownership

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Other types of private/individual owner(s)		<b>2</b>

#### 5.1.2 - Management authority

agency or organization responsible for	Metsähallitus Parks and Wildlife Finland
managing the site:	
Provide the name and/or title of the person or people with responsibility for the wetland:	Mr. Antti Below, conservation biologist
Postal address:	P.o. Box 94, 01301 Vantaa, Finland
E-mail address:	antti.below@metsa.fi

# 5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

### 5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Water regulation

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Drainage	Medium impact	Medium impact	✓	decrease	✓	unknown
Water abstraction	Low impact	Medium impact	✓	unknown		No change

Energy production and mining

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Mining and quarrying	Medium impact	High impact	✓	unknown	✓	No change

Biological resource use

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Logging and wood harvesting	Low impact	Medium impact	<b>2</b>	unknown		No change

Natural system modifications

tatara o yo to m mountouto no								
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes		
Dams and water management/use	Low impact	Medium impact		No change	✓	unknown		
Vegetation clearance/	Low impact	Low impact		No change	✓	unknown		

#### Please describe any other threats (optional):

Parts of the mires were drained mostly during 1970-1985, causing changes in the vegetation. A part of the forests were logged till the early 1960s. Forestry drainage and peat mining in the surroundings affect negatively on the site. Groundwater is abstracted from the connected aquifers and there are initiatives to increase the abstraction, which may have negative impact on the habitat types.

#### 5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

Regional (international) legal designations

Regional (international) legal designations			
Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
EU Natura 2000	Kauhaneva-Pohjankangas SAC/SPA	http://natura2000.eea.europa.eu/ Natura2000/SDF.aspx?site=Fl08000 03	whole

#### National legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Esker Conservation Programme			partly
Mire Conservation Programme			partly
National Park			partly

5.2	2.3 -	IUCN	prote	cted	areas	cate	egories	(2008)	)
-----	-------	------	-------	------	-------	------	---------	--------	---

	la Strict Nature Reserve
	Ib Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection
200	II National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation
_	III Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features
	IV Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention
	V Protected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation
	VI Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems

#### 5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

#### Legal protection

	20ga. p. oto oto			
Measures		Status		
	Legal protection	Partially implemented		

#### Habitat

Measures	Status	
Hydrology management/restoration	Partially implemented	

#### Human Activities

Measures	Status
Regulation/management of recreational activities	Partially implemented

The site is included in the Natura 2000 Network, designated both as SPA and SCI. Kauhaneva-Pohjankangas National Park (5.879 ha) was established in 1982. A major part of the mires is also included in the Mire Conservation Programme. Pohjankangas and Nummikangas are included in the Esker Conservation Programme.

A master plan for the National Park was established in 1985. Hunting, fishing (in most places) and use of motor vehicles outside the roads are prohibited. The middle parts of Kauhaneva Mire form a restricted area (450 ha) where access is prohibited from April to mid September. Restoration of mires was carried out under the EU Life project in 1996–99 by filling up ditches and cutting trees.

Conservation of the Natura 2000 site outside the already protected areas will be carried out under the Nature Conservation Act, Land Use and Building Act, Land Extraction Act, Water Act and Forest Act. The planned extension of the National Park covers 1 000 ha and will be implemented by land purhcases from private owners to the state for conservation when applicable. The extension will be implemented once enough land has been purchased.

## 5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? Yes

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site?

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes  $\overline{O}$  No  $\overline{\odot}$ processes with another Contracting Party?

Please indicate if a Ramsar centre, other educational or visitor facility, or an educational or visitor programme is associated with the site:

A nature observation tower, a trail with duckboards (2 km) and a camping site have been constructed in the National Park. The park had ca. 6 000 visitors in 2003.

URL of site-related webpage (if relevant): http://www.nationalparks.fi/en/kauhaneva-pohjankangasnp

# 5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? Yes, there is a plan

# 5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

Monitoring	Status	
Birds	Implemented	
Plant community	Implemented	

The breeding bird fauna of the mires was surveyed in 1977–83, 1996 and 2016. The volume of bird populations was estimated in 1986 and 2016 by using line transect censuses. The flora of the National Park was surveyed in 1985 and mires were studied closely in 1994. The impact of restoration measures is monitored. The phytoplankton was studied in the 1990s. The area of Kolmentuulenlakki is an esker research site of the Academy of Finland.

# 6 - Additional material

### 6.1 - Additional reports and documents

#### 6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Eloranta, P. 1995. Phytoplankton of the nationalpark lakes in central and southern Finland. Annales Botanici Fennici 32.

Heikkilä, R., Kuznetsov, O., Lindholm, T., Aapala, K., Antipin, V., Djatshkova, T. & Shevelin, P. 2001. Complexes, vegetation, flora and dynamics of Kauhaneva mire system, western Finland. The Finnish Environment 489, Finnish Environment Institute.

Hellemaa, P. 1980. Pohjankangas Kuninkaanlähteeltä Karvianjoelle. M.Sc. thesis. University of Helsinki, Department of Geography.

Hyvärinen, E., Juslén, A., Kemppainen, E., Uddström, A. & Liukko, U.-M. (eds.) 2019. The 2019 Red List of Finnish Species. Ympäristöministeriö & Suomen ympäristökeskus. Helsinki. 704 p.

Leivo, M., Asanti, T., Koskimies, P., Lammi, E., Lampolahti, J., Mikkola-Roos, M.& Virolainen, E. 2002. Suomen tärkeät lintualueet FINIBA. BirdLife Suomen julkaisuja 4, Suomen graafiset palvelut, Kuopio.

Luomuksen lajirekisteri 2014.

Metsähallitus 1985. Kauhanevan-Pohjankankaan kansallispuiston runkosuunnitelma. Metsähallitus SU 4:72.

Metsähallitus 2013: Luontotyyppi-inventointi. MHGlS ja YSAGIS -tietokannat, luontotyyppi-aineisto 15.11.2013.

Metsähallitus: Linnustoselvitykset 2004 ja 2005.

Metsähallituksen lajirekisteri 2014.

Metsähallitus: Kauhaneva-Pohjankankaan Natura 2000- alueen hoito- ja käyttösuunnitelma. Dno 3635/623/2008.

Metsähallitus: Kauhanevan-Pohjankankaan kansallispuiston kävijätutkimus 2007. Sarja B 137.

Metsähallitus: Lauhanvuoren ja Kauhanevan-Pohjankankaan kansallispuistojen yritystutkimus 2007. Sarja B 138.

Vähämäki, J. 1983. Kauhanevan pesimälinnusto vuosina 1977–1983. Hippiäinen.

Working group on the need for forest protection in southern Finland and Ostrobothnia. Chairman Ruuhijärvi, R., Secretaries Kuusinen, M., Raunio, A. and Eisto, K. 2000. Forest protection in southern Finland and Ostrobothnia. The Finnish Environment 437. Ministry of the Environment.

#### 6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

<no file available>

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

<no file available>

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

<no file available>

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

<no file available>

v. site management plan

<no file available>

vi. other published literature

<no file available>

<no data available>

#### 6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



A view over the mire and eskers of Kauhaneva-Pohjankangas. The Kyrönkangas road crosses the mire diagonally from lower right to upper left corner of the image. ( Jari Ilmonen, 20-08-2013 )



Restored edges of a mire complex in the Kauhaneva a Pohjankangas National Park

#### 6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

#### Designation letter

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Date of Designation 2004-02-02