

Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 13 July 2020 Update version, previously published on : 1 January 2012

Estonia Alam-Pedja



Designation date 5 June 1997 Site number 905

Coordinates 58°28'46"N 26°11'48"E

Area 34 220,00 ha

Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

1 - Summary

Summary

Alam-Pedja is a large flat wilderness area in the north-eastern corner of the Võrtsjärv Lowland in Central Estonia. It comprises complex of mires separated by unregulated rivers and associated floodplain meadows and alluvial forests, surrounded by extensive forests, including swamp forests. Due to the extremely small slope, the only outflow through the Suur-Emajõgi River is very slow, and big floods are characteristic. The Site is a very important spawning ground for a number of fish species, an important stop-over point for several species of migrating waterfowl.

The site is one of the largest areas left in Estonia with nearly no human influence (no settlements and hardly any roads).

2 - Data & location

2.1 - Formal data

2.1	.1	-	Name	and	addre	ess of	f the	comp	iler of	this	RIS
-----	----	---	------	-----	-------	--------	-------	------	---------	------	-----

\sim			- 2
('Or	nnı	lor.	1
Cor	וטוו		

Name	Kai Kimmel
Institution/agency	Estonian Wetland Society
	•
Postal address	Suurküla 21, Häädemeeste, 86001 Pärnumaa
E-mail	kkimmel@hot.ee
Phone	+3725077652
Compiler 2	
Name	Marika Kose
Institution/agency	Estonian Wetland Society
Postal address	Suurküla 21, Häädemeeste, 86001 Pärnumaa, Estonia
E-mail	marika.kose@mail.ee
Phone	+37256561373

2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year 2012

To year 2019

2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or Spanish)

Alam-Pedja

2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

(Update) A Changes to Site boundary Yes No ○
(Update) The boundary has been delineated more accurately ✓
(Update) The boundary has been extended
(Update) The boundary has been restricted
(Update) B. Changes to Site area the area has increased
(Update) The Site area has been calculated more accurately
(Update) The Site has been delineated more accurately ✓
(Update) The Site area has increased because of a boundary extension
(Update) The Site area has decreased because of a boundary restriction \Box

2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

(Update) 6b i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS?

(Update) Optional text box to provide further information

No principal change but due to restoration projects carried out in 2013-2019 hydrological conditions and quality of habitats of two bog massifs (Soosaare and sangla) is improving.

Also the ecosystems of River Emajõgi with its oxbow lakes (2009-2012) and River Laeva natural riverbed (2013-2018) are restored.

2.2 - Site location

2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

b) Digital map/image

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Former maps 0

Boundaries description

The boundary is the same as an existing protected area – the Alam-Pedja Nature Reserve

2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does the site lie?

Tartu, Jögeva, Viljandi Counties

b) What is the nearest town or population centre?

2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries?

b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party?

2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha): 34220

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from GIS boundaries

34400.591

2.2.5 - Biogeography

Biogeographic regions

Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region								
EU biogeographic regionalization	Boreal Biogeographic region according to the EEA								
Freshwater Ecoregions of the World (FEOW)	2. terrestrial area Sarmatic mixed forests								

Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

1. EEA, European Environment Agency, http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/report_2002_0524_154909

2. Olson, D. M, E. Dinerstein, E.D. Wikramanayake, N.D. Burgess, G.V.N. Powell, E.C. Underwood, J.A. D'amico, I. Itoua, H.E. Strand, J.C. Morrison, C.J. Loucks, T.F. Allnutt, T.H. Ricketts, Y. Kura, J.F. Lamoreux, W.W.Wettengel, P. Hedao, & K.R. Kassem. 2001. Terrestrial Ecoregions of the World: A New Map of Life on Earth. - BioScience 51:933-938.

Abell, R., Thieme, M. L., Revenga, C., Bryer, M., Kottelat, M., Bogutskaya, N., Coad, B., Mandrak, N., Contreras Balderas, S., Bussing, W., Stiassny, M., Skelton, P., Allen, G., Unmack, P., Naseka, A., Ng, R., Sindorf, N., Robertson, J., Armijo, E., Higgins, J., Heibel, T.J., Wikramanayake, E., Olson, D., Lopez, H. L., Reis, R. E., Lundberg, J.G., Sabaj Perez, M.H., Petry P., 2008, Freshwater Ecoregions of the World: A New Map of Biogeographic Units for Freshwater Biodiversity Conservation. - BioScience 58: 403-414.

3 - Why is the Site important?

3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

☑ Criterion 1: Representative, rare or unique natural or near-natural wetland types

Hydrological services provided

The paludified and forested site acts as a natural regulator of the runoff and the water regime of the rivers. Together with Lake Võrtsjärv the accumulation area of the River Emajõgi is the largest (900 sq.km) naturally regulated area of river runoff in Estonia.

The site plays an important role in the maintenance of water quality, acting as a natural buffer zone to the Emajogi River and the town of Tartu.

Other ecosystem services provided

Biodiversity maintenance. Soil (peat) formation. Nutrient cycling. Water purification. Climate change mitigation. Pollution control and detoxification. Flood control. Aesthetic and landscape values. Spiritual and inspirational services. Recreation and tourism. Scientific and educational services.

The site is a particularly good representative of mosaic wetland complex including natural non-forested peatlands (bogs and fens), forested peatlands (peatswamp forests), freshwater swamp forests, permanent rivers, floodplains and oxbow lakes characteristic of the Boreal Biogeographical region. The area is the largest one left in Estonia without any or almost no human influence.

Wetland habitats occurring in Alam-Pedja Nature Reserve and listed in Annex I if the Habitat Directive are: active raised bogs (*7110), transition mires and quaking bogs (7140), bog woodland (*91D0), Fennoscandian deciduous swamp woods (*9080), northern boreal alluvial meadows (6450), rivers and streams (3260), alkaline fens (7230), alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (91E0) and riparian mixed forests of Quercus robur, Ulmus laevis, Fraxinus excelsior along the great rivers (91F0). The wetland complex plays a substantial hydrological, biological and ecological role in the region and it is identified both as an IBA and Natura 2000 site.

- ☑ Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities
- Criterion 3 : Biological diversity

The site supports populations of plants and animal species important for maintaining the biological Justification diversity of the Boreal Biogeographical Region.

- Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions
- Criterion 8 : Fish spawning grounds, etc.

The floodplains and old river beds (especially of River Emajõgi) are very important as spawning sites for Justification | fish species such as Bream Abramis brama, Pike Esox lucius and also Leuciscus aspius (Annex II of EU Habitats Directive) and Wels Siluris glanis.

3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Scientific name	Common name	Criterion 2	Criterion 3	Criterion 4	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	Other status	Justification
Betula humilis	Shrubby Birch	V	V		LC		VU in Red List of Estonia	Locally, the number of localities has decreased during the last decades
Botrychium simplex simplex	Dwarf Moonwort	Ø					CR in Red List of Estonia	Protected species (I category). Extremely rare in Estonia
Carex glareosa glareosa		Ø					EN in Red List of Estonia	Protected species (II category). Very rare mire species
Corallorhiza trifida	Coralroot Orchid	2					EN in Red List of Estonia	Protected species (II category). Scattered throughout Estonia, number of localities decreasing
Cypripedium calceolus	Lady's Slipper	Ø	Ø		LC		Annex II and IV of EU habitats Directive	Protected species (Il category). Scattered throughout Estonia
Eriophorum gracile	Slender Cotton-grass						EN in Red List of Estonia	Protected species (II category).
Gladiolus imbricatus	Meadow-sword Lily	7					W in Red List of Estonia	Protected species (II category). Scattered throughout Estonia
Hammarbya paludosa	Bog Orchid	2			LC		EN in Red List of Estonia	Protected species (Il category). Rare in Estonia
Liparis loeselii	Fen Orchid	Ø					Annex II and IV of EU habitats Directive; VU in Red List of Estonia	Protected species (Il category) growing only in transitional bogs
Malaxis monophyllos	Single leaf Malaxis						VU in Red List of Estonia	protected species (II category).
Neottia cordata	Lesser Twayblade				LC		VU in Red List of Estonia	Protected species (II category)
Pedicularis sceptrum- carolinum		7					EN in Red List of Estonia	Protected species (II category). Numbers decreasing
Saxifraga hirculus	Yellow rockfoil	2			LC		Annex II and IV of EU Habitats Directive; EN in Red List of Estonia	Protected species (II category). Rare species growing in spring mires

Alam-Pedja supports three species of Annex II of EU Habitast Directive (Liparis loeselii, Saxifraga hirculus and Cypripedium calceolus) and some endangered and vulnerable species of Red List of Estonia. Inventory of protected plant species was carried out in 2017.

3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

0.0 / 1111110	ai opooloo iii	1000 p. 0001 ii	oo i olatot	,		national impe			0 0.00			
Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Species qualifies under criterion 2 4 6 9	Specie contribu unde criterie 3 5 7	r Pop	Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
Birds	Birds											
CHORDATA/ AVES	Accipiter gentilis	Northern Goshawk	9 200		6	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	6-9 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Alcedo atthis	Common Kingfisher			6	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	6-10 pairs

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Species qualifies under criterion	co	Species entribute under criterior 5 7	Pop Siz		% occurrence 1)		CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA/ AVES	Aquila chrysaetos	Golden Eagle				□ 2	2016		LC			AnnexI of Council directive 2009/147/EEC; VU in Red List of Estonia; strongly protected (I category)	2-3 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Aquila pomarina	Lesser Spotted Eagle				1	2012-2019		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	1-2 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Botaurus stellaris	Eurasian Bittern	2 200			7	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC;	7-9 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Caprimulgus europaeus	European Nightjar	99 00			20	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC;	200-230 pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Chlidonias niger	Black Tern				100	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	100-120 pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Ciconia nigra	Black Stork				□ 1	2012-2019		LC			AnnexI of Council directive 2009/147/EEC; EN in Red List of Estonia; strongly protected (I category)	1-2 pairs; strongly protected (I category)
CHORDATA/ AVES	Circus aeruginosus	Western Marsh Harrier				17	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	15-20 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Circus cyaneus	Northern Harrier	2 200			□ 3	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	3-5 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Circus pygargus	Montagu's Harrier				□ 4	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	4-7 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Crex crex	Corn Crake				<u> </u>	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	130-150 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Cygnus columbianus bewickii	Bewick's Swan	2 000			7	2016					Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	
CHORDATA/ AVES	Ficedula parva	Red-breasted Flycatcher	99 00			<u> </u>	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	100-200 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Gallinago media	Great Snipe	200c			100	2016		NT			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC; VU in Red List of Estonia	Criterion 4: It is the most important breeding area for Gallinago media in the Baltic States . 100-120 individuals.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Grus grus	Common Crane				30	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	20-35 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Haliaeetus albicilla	White-tailed Eagle	220C			□ 5	2016		LC	 ✓	V	Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC; VU in Red List of Estonia; strongly protected (I category)	4-5 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Hydrocoloeus minutus	Little Gull	2 200			<u> </u>	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	15-100 pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Lagopus lagopus	Willow Ptarmigan; Willow Grouse				_ 1	2016		LC			EN in Red List of Estonia	1-3 pairs; strongly protected (I category). Numbers are decreasing seriouslyi
CHORDATA/ AVES	Lanius collurio	Red-backed Shrike	2			30	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	30-50 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Limosa lapponica	Bar-tailed Godwit				100	2016		NT			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	100 ind during migration
CHORDATA/ AVES	Limosa limosa	Black-tailed Godwit				15	2016		NT			listed as Vulnerable in Europe by the IUCN Red list.	
CHORDATA/ AVES	Lyrurus tetrix	Eurasian Black Grouse; Black Grouse				200	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	200-300 individuals
CHORDATA/ AVES	Pandion haliaetus	Osprey, Western Osprey				1	2016		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	1-2 breeding pairs. Srongly protected (I category)
CHORDATA/ AVES	Pernis apivorus	European Honey Buzzard	2 200	V		10	2012-2019		LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	10-15 breeding pairs

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Special qualification und criter 2 4	fies ler rion	con u cr	pecies tributes inder iterion 5 7 8	Size			CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA/ AVES	Philomachus pugnax	Ruff	77		V		5	2016				Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC; EN in Red List of Estonia	5-10 pairs; strongly protected (I category)
CHORDATA/ AVES	Pluvialis apricaria	European Golden Plover; European Golden-Plover	V				80	2016	LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	80-100 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Porzana porzana	Spotted Crake	V			عمد	130	2016	LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	100-200 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Sterna hirundo	Common Tern	1		2		20	2016	LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	20-30 pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Strix uralensis	Ural Owl	1		2		30	2016	LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC;	30-40 pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Tetrao urogallus	Western Capercaillie	V				55	2016	LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	55-75 ind
CHORDATA/ AVES	Tetrastes bonasia	Hazel Grouse	V		1		200	2016				Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC;	200-300 pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Tringa glareola	Wood Sandpiper	11				50	2016	LC			Annex I of Council directive 2009/147/EEC	50-60 breeding pairs
CHORDATA/ AVES	Vanellus vanellus	Northern Lapwing	7				60	2016	NT			listed as Vulnerable in Europe by the IUCN Red list.	
Fish, Mollusc	and Crustacea												
CHORDATA/ ACTINOPTERYGI	Abramis brama	Aral bream					2		LC				Criterion 8: The floodplains and old river beds are very important as spawning sites for this species.
CHORDATA/ ACTINOPTERYGI	Cobitis taenia	Spiny loach	2 0						LC			Annex II of EU Habitats Directive	Criterion 8: The floodplains and old river beds are very important as spawning sites for this species.
CHORDATA/ ACTINOPTERYGI	Cottus gobio	Common bullhead				عمد			LC			Annex II of EU Habitats Directive	
CHORDATA/ ACTINOPTERYGI	Esox lucius	Snake					9		LC				Criterion 8: The floodplains and old river beds are very important as spawning sites for this species.
CHORDATA/ ACTINOPTERYGI	Leuciscus aspius	Schied; Schied; Schied	2 0				9					Annex II of EU Habitats Directive	Criterion 8: The floodplains and old river beds are very important as spawning sites for this species.
CHORDATA/ ACTINOPTERYGI	Misgurnus fossilis	Mud Ioach				عمد			LC			Annex II of EU Habitats Directive	
CHORDATA/ ACTINOPTERYGI	Silurus glanis	Sheatfish; Sheatfish					9		LC				Criterion 8: The floodplains and old river beds are very important as spawning sites for this species.
Others													·
CHORDATA/ MAMMALIA	Canis lupus	Wolf	9		V				LC	Ø			Criterion 4: The site supports species at a critical stage of their life cycles and provides refuge during adverse conditions, serving as a feeding and breeding ground and a shelter for this species.
CHORDATA/ MAMMALIA	Lutra lutra	European Otter	V		2		30	2012-2019	NT	V		Annexes II and IV of EU Habitats Directive	over 30 individuals
CHORDATA/ MAMMALIA	Lynx lynx	Eurasian Lynx			V)		LC				Criterion 4: The site supports species at a critical stage of their life cycles and provides refuge during adverse conditions, serving as a feeding and breeding ground and a shelter for this species.

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Species qualifies under criterion	Spec contril und crite	butes ler rion	Period	of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA/ MAMMALIA	Mustela lutreola	European Mink							CR			(Annexes II and IV of EU Habitats Directive	
CHORDATA/ MAMMALIA	Myotis dasycneme	pond bat; Pond Myotis				650			NT			Annexes II and IV of EU Habitats Directive	The site supports one of the largest breeding colonies of Myotis dascycneme Pond Bat in Europe (600-700 individuals in a summer colony).
CHORDATA/ MAMMALIA	Pteromys volans	Siberian Flying Squirrel							LC			Annexes II and IV of EU Habitats Directive	
CHORDATA/ MAMMALIA	Ursus arctos	Brown Bear; Grizzly Bear							LC	V			Criterion 4: The site supports species at a critical stage of their life cycles and provides refuge during adverse conditions, serving as a feeding and breeding ground and a shelter for this species.
CHORDATA/ MAMMALIA	Vespertilio murinus	Particolored Bat							LC			Annex IV of EU Habitats Directive	

¹⁾ Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Name of ecological community	Community qualifies under Criterion 2?	Description	Justification
Riparian mixed forests (91F0)	>		Annex I of EU Habitats Directive
Bog woodland (*91D0)	Ø		Annex I of EU Habitats Directive, priority habitat type
Alkaline fens (7230)	2		Annex I of EU Habitats Directive
Hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities of plains (6430)	2		Annex I of EU Habitats Directive
Active raised bogs (*7110)	Ø		Annex I of EU Habitats Directive, priority habitat type
Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (*91E0)	2		Annex I of EU Habitats Directive, priority habitat type
Water courses (rivers) (3260)	✓		Annex I of EU Habitats Directive
Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds (3160)	2		Annex I of EU Habitats Directive
Northern boreal alluvial meadows (6450)	Ø	Flooded meadows along rivers Emajõgi, Põltsamaa, Pedja, Umbusi, Pikknurme, laeva and Elva. Semi-natural communities depending on active management.	Annex I of EU Habitats Directive
Transition mires and quaking bogs (7140)	✓		Annex I of EU Habitats Directive
Fennoscandian deciduous swamp woods (*9080)	Ø		Annex I of EU Habitats Directive, priority habitat type

Optional text box to provide further information

In Estonia management planning (inventories, monitoring and reporting) in protected sites is largely based on habitat types listed in Annex I of EU Habitats Directive.

Wetland habitats of Annex I occurring in Alam-Pedja are: natural dystrophic lakes and ponds (3160), water courses of plain to montane levels with the Ranunculion fluitans and Callitricho-Batrachion vegetation (3260), hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities of plains and of the montane to alpine levels (6430), northern boreal alluvial meadows (6450), active raised bogs (7110*), transition mires and quaking bogs (7140), depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion (7150), alkaline fens (7230), Fennoscandian deciduous swamp woods (9080*) and bog woodland (91D0*), Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (91E0)

Other important types are: Western taiga (*9010) and Fennoscandian herb-rich forests with Picea abies (9050), Fennoscandian lowland species-rich dry to mesic grasslands (*6270)

The biggest area is covered by active raised bogs, fennoscandian deciduous swamp woods and bog woodland. Large areas are also floodplain meadows.

4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

4.1 - Ecological character

Mires are represented by bogs (Põltsamaa, Umbusi), fens (Karisto, Ulila), transition bogs, and their complexes (Laeva). The bogs are of a continental type, with numerous bog-pools.

The extensive un-drained forests include birch-dominated swamp forests and carrs. Alluvial broad-leaved forests with elm, ash and oak in tree layer (Humulus floodplain forest site type) and with high species diversity are of special botanical value. As relicts of warmer climatic periods they have been preserved in Estonia in very few fragments, mainly on the territory of Alam-Pedja. In the lower part of the floodplains, the Carex elongata floodplain swamp forests grow.

In the open floodplain meadow of River Emajõgi thick bushes of Betula humilis are found in places.

4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

Inland wetlands

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
Fresh water > Flowing water >> M: Permanent rivers/ streams/ creeks		0	440	Representative
Saline, brackish or alkaline water > Marshes & pools >> Ss: Seasonal/ intermittent saline/ brackish/ alkaline marshes/ pools		0		Representative
Fresh water > Lakes and pools >> Tp: Permanent freshwater marshes/ pools		0	130	Representative
Fresh water > Marshes on inorganic soils >> Ts: Seasonal/ intermittent freshwater marshes/ pools on inorganic soils		3	2343	Representative
Fresh water > Marshes on peat soils >> U: Permanent Nonforested peatlands		2	8197	Representative
Fresh water > Marshes on inorganic soils >> W: Shrub- dominated wetlands		0	938	Representative
Fresh water > Marshes on inorganic soils >> Xf: Freshwater, tree-dominated wetlands		4	1090	Representative
Fresh water > Marshes on peat soils >> Xp: Permanent Forested peatlands		1	17146	Representative

Human-made wetlands

numan-made wellands				
Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
2: Ponds		2	6	
9: Canals and drainage channels or ditches		1	26	

Other non-wetland habitat

Other non-wetland habitats within the site	Area (ha) if known
forests on mineral soils	

4.3 - Biological components

4.3.1 - Plant species

Other noteworthy plant species

Scientific name	Common name	Position in range / endemism / other
Allium ursinum	Wild garlic	Protected species (III category)
Carex disperma	Soft-leaf sedge	Protected species (II category)
Carex magellanica irrigua		Protected species (II category)
Dactylorhiza fuchsii	Common Spotted Orchid	Protected species (III category)
Dactylorhiza incarnata	Early Marsh Orchid	Protected species (III category)
Dactylorhiza maculata	Heath Spotted Orchid	Protected species (III category)
Epipactis palustris	Marsh Helleborine	Protected species (III category)
Goodyera repens	Greeping Ladyś Tresses	Protected species (III category)
Huperzia selago	Clubmoss	Protected species (III category)
Iris sibirica	Siberian Iris	Protected species (III category)
Juncus stygius		Protected species (Il category), very rare in Estonia
Platanthera bifolia	Lesser Butterfly Orchid	Protected species (III category)
Platanthera chlorantha	Greater Butterfly Orchid	Protected species (III category)
Thalictrum lucidum		Protected species (III category)
Viola uliginosa		Protected species (III category)

Optional text box to provide further information

The site is important in maintaining the geographic range of plant species and communities common to raised bogs, transitional bogs, floodplain meadows and wet forests.

32 species of nationally protected vascular plant species have been recorded, most of them growing in wet habitats.

4.3.2 - Animal species

Other noteworthy animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Pop. size	Period of pop. est.	%occurrence	Position in range /endemism/other
RTHROPODAINSECTA	Aeshna mixta	Migrant Hawker				
RTHROPODA/INSECTA	Anax imperator	Emperor dragonfly				
CHORDATA/MAM/MALIA	Castor fiber	Eurasian Beaver				
CHORDATA/AVES	Numenius phaeopus	Whimbrel	13	2016		Nationally protected
RTHROPODAINSECTA	Sympecma paedisca	Siberian Winter Damsel				
CHORDATAVAVES	Tringa nebularia	Common Greenshank	30	2016		Nationally protected
CHORDATA/AVES	Tringa totanus	Common Redshank	15	2016		Nationally protected

4.4 - Physical components

4.4.1 - Climate

Climatic region	Subregion
D: Moist Mid-Latitude climate with cold winters	Dfb: Humid continental (Humid with severe winter, no dry season, warm summer)

Climate is transitional from the sub-maritime to the sub-continental. Mean annual temperature is 4.5°C, precipitation averages about 590 mm per year and snow cover lasts approximately 115 days, with rivers and lakes covered with ice from December until April (but there could be winters nearly without snow and ice cover).

1	1	2	_	C	20	m	or	nh	ni c	22	Hi	na

a) Mnimum elevation above sea level (in metres)
a) Maximum elevation above sea level (in metres) 47
Entire river basin
Upper part of river basin
Mddle part of river basin ☐
Lower part of river basin
More than one river basin ☑

RIS for Site no. 905	, Alam-Pedja, Estonia	ı	
	No	t in river basin	
		Coastal	
Please name the river basin	n or basins. If the site lies in a	sub-basin, please also nam	e the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean.
, ,	tributaries River Pedja, of an inland paludified lo		r Laeva Lake Võrtsjärv (1750 sq.km).
4.4.3 - Soil			
		Mneral ☑	
	(Update) Changes		norease O Decrease O Unknown O
	Onangoo	Organic 🗹	- Hardado - Boardado - Gristom -
	(Update) Changes	•	norease O Decrease O Unknown O
		ole information	
Are soil types subject to	change as a result of changin	g hydrological Yes O No 🖲	
Please provide further inform			
		and covered by limnolog	gical sediments (varved clay, sand and silt), lake marl, gyttja and peat.
Alluvial and peat soils	dominate.		
4.4.4 - Water regime			
Water permanence Presence?	Changes at PIS undate		
Usually permanent water	Changes at RIS update No change		
present Source of water that maintain			
Presence?	Predominant water source	Changes at RIS update	
Water inputs from surface water	2	No change	
Water inputs from		No change	
groundwater Water inputs from rainfall /	✓	No change	
snowfall	0.50		
Water destination			
Presence? To downstream catchment	Changes at RIS update No change		
Stability of water regime Presence?	Changes at RIS update		
Water levels fluctuating	No change		
(including tidal) Water levels largely stable	No change		
	uenced by river floods. A		this box to explain sites with complex hydrology. vel one third of the territory of the wetland is flooded. Hydrological
	<u> </u>		
4.4.5 - Sediment regim	e		
Significant accretion of	r deposition of sediments occ	urs on the site 🗹	
-			norease O Decrease O Unknown O
Significant transportatio	n of sediments occurs on or th	<u> </u>	
		_	ncrease O Decrease O Unknown O
		gime unknown 🗆	
	`	•	
4.4.6 - Water pH			
		Acid (pH<5.5) ☑	
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change) Increase O Decrease O Unknown O
	Circumneutra	I (pH: 5.5-7.4)	
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change) Increase O Decrease O Unknown O
		Unknown	
Please provide further inform	mation on pH (optional):		
Acid in bogs, circumn	eutral in other habitat typ	oes	

4.4.7 - Water salinity

Fresh (<0.5 g/l)

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change

● Increase

O Decrease

O Unknown

O

Unknown [

4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water

Unknown	
---------	--

<no data available>

4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect the Site

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) broadly similar O ii) significantly different O

site itself:

Surrounding area has greater urbanisation or development $\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\varnothing}}$

Surrounding area has higher human population density

Surrounding area has more intensive agricultural use

Surrounding area has significantly different land cover or habitat types

Please describe other ways in which the surrounding area is different:

The land is used for forestry, peat excavation and agriculture.

4.5 - Ecosystem services

4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Provisioning Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance				
Food for humans	Sustenance for humans (e.g., fish, molluscs, grains)	Medium				
Wetland non-food products	Timber	Low				

Regulating Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Maintenance of hydrological regimes	Storage and delivery of water as part of water supply systems for agriculture and industry	Medium
Pollution control and detoxification	Water purification/waste treatment or dilution	Medium
Climate regulation	Regulation of greenhouse gases, temperature, precipitation and other climactic processes	High
Hazard reduction	Flood control, flood storage	High

Cultural Services

Oditural Oct vices						
Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance				
Recreation and tourism	Picnics, outings, touring	Medium				
Recreation and tourism	Water sports and activities	Medium				
Spiritual and inspirational	Cultural heritage (historical and archaeological)	Medium				
Scientific and educational	Major scientific study site	Medium				
Scientific and educational	Long-term monitoring site	Medium				
Scientific and educational	Important knowledge systems, importance for research (scientific reference area or site)	Medium				

Supporting Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Biodiversity	Supports a variety of all life forms including plants, animals and microorganizms, the genes they contain, and the ecosystems of which they form a part	High
Soil formation	Accumulation of organic matter	High
Nutrient cycling	Carbon storage/sequestration	High
Pollination	Support for pollinators	Low

Optional text box to provide further information

The paludified and forested site acts as a natural regulator of the runoff and the water regime of the rivers. Together with Lake Võrtsjärv the accumulation area of the River Emajõgi is the largest (900 sq.km) naturally regulated area of river runoff in Estonia.

The site plays an important role in the maintenance of water quality, acting as a natural buffer zone to the Emajögi River and the town of Tartu.

The site is an important area for traditional berry-picking (Oxycoccus palustris).

The rivers are important for local fishery (especially River Emajõgi), in past some traditional fishing forms (fishing with fish- garts) have been in use.

Only about 10 permanent inhabitants.

Within the site: about 10

Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of Yes O No [®] Unknown O

4.5.2 - Social and cultural values

i) the site provides a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and use that maintain the ecological character of the wetland

Description if applicable

Traditional use of floodplain meadows for hay-making. In the past the meadows were widely used. Stacks were left to dry on the spot. Part of the hay was taken downstrem on barges but usually it was sledged home in winter. Special floodplain watchmen were hired to alert in case of possible damage to be caused to the haystacks by wind or water. Haymaking was organised as a haying bee with thousands of haymakers. Now it is important to find resources to keep the haymaking on meadows alive.

ii) the site has exceptional cultural traditions or records of former civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetland
iii) the ecological character of the wetland depends on its interaction $\hfill\Box$ with local communities or indigenous peoples
iv) relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present and their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological character of the wetland

4.6 - Ecological processes

<no data available>

5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

		lic			

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
National/Federal government	>	>
Local authority, municipality, (sub)district, etc.		2

Private ownership

i iiiato omiloionip		
Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Other types of private/individual owner(s)	2	⊘

Provide further information on the land tenure / ownership regime (optional):

within the Ramsar site: About 95% of the site is state-owned, 5% is private land	
in the surrounding area: state, municipal and privately owned land	

5.1.2 - Management authority

Please list the local office / offices of any agency or organization responsible for managing the site:	South Region of Environmental Board
Provide the name and title of the person or people with responsibility for the wetland:	Mrs Ena Poltimäe, director of the Tartu Region of Environmental Board
Postal address:	Aleksandri 14, 51004 Tartu, Estonia
E-mail address:	ena.poltimae@keskkonnaamet.ee

5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Potential threat

Medium impact

Actual threat

Medium impact

Water regulation Factors adversely

affecting site

	Canalisation and river regulation	unknown impact	unknown impact		No change	>	No change	
Biological resource use								
	Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes	
	Hunting and collecting terrestrial animals	Medium impact	Medium impact	\checkmark	No change		No change	
	Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources	Medium impact	Medium impact	2	No change		No change	

Within the site

Changes

No change

In the surrounding area

1

Changes

No change

Human intrusions and disturbance

Unspecified

arrain intractions and distarbance						
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Recreational and tourism activities	Medium impact	Medium impact	₽	increase		No change

Natural exetem modifications

adulai system modifications						
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Unspecified/others	Medium impact	Medium impact	✓	No change		No change

Pollution

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Agricultural and forestry effluents	Medium impact	Medium impact		No change	/	No change
Industrial and military effluents	unknown impact	unknown impact	V	No change	2	No change

Please describe any other threats (optional):

within the Ramsar site: Almost one-half of the area was occupied by the Soviet army (until 1991); a minor part of the reserve was used as a bombing range. The remainder has been subjected to almost no human influence and was closed to the public for 40 years. Now the main threat lies in the overgrowth of flood-plain meadows with scrub, also the mowing of the meadows was resumed in 2000. Potential threats are the uncontrolled access to the area (including motorboats on rivers and jeeps in forests), nonlegal hunting, and the intensification of fishery.

in the surrounding area: pollution from the peat excavation and growing forest clearance reaching the floodplains and old riverbeds at the Emajõgi River, and agricultural pollution coming via rivers.

5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

Regional (international) legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
EU Natura 2000	Alam-Pedja		whole

National legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
nature reserve	Alam-Pedja		whole

Non-statutory designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Important Bird Area	Alam-Pedja		whole

5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

			_
In Ctriat	Moturo	Doggan	1

Ib Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection

Il National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation

III Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features

IV Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention

V Protected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation

5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

Legal protection

Measures	Status	
Legal protection	Implemented	

Habitat

Measures	Status
Hydrology management/restoration	Partially implemented

Species

Measures		Status	
	Threatened/rare species management programmes	Partially implemented	

Human Activities

Measures	Status	
Harvest controls/poaching enforcement	Implemented	
Regulation/management of recreational activities	Implemented	
Research	Partially implemented	
Communication, education, and participation and awareness activities	Partially implemented	

Other:

Restoration projects:

LIFE+ project "Saving life in meanders and oxbow lakes of Emajögi River on Alam-Pedja Natura 2000 area (HAPPYFISH) 2009-2012 LIFE procect "Restoring the integrity of freshwater habitats in Alam-Pedja Natura 2000 area - bringing River Laeva back to life" (HAPPYRIVER), 2013-2018

5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? Yes

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site?

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No

processes with another Contracting Party?

Please indicate if a Ramsar centre, other educational or visitor facility, or an educational or visitor programme is associated with the site:

The education and visitor's centre in Kirna was opened in 2008. Two nature trails (Selli-Sillaotsa study trail and Kirna hiking trail with tower) have been set up in the marginal areas of the reserve about which information booklets are available. Special book introducing the wetland was published in 1997 and a nice photo album in 2012. One of the main tasks is to promote nature conservation education, special nature camps for schoolchildren are organized in the nature school at Palupõhja.

Since 2009 the visiting management is the responsibility of the State Forest Management Centre.

5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? Yes, there is a plan

Further information

The basic document for mire restoration is the Action plan for Estonian protected mires 2016-2023 which designates the restoration needs, areas, and order of planning and implementing.

5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

Monitoring	Status
Plant community	Implemented
Birds	Implemented
Animal community	Implemented

The biodiversity of the wetland was thoroughly studied and documented during the preparation of management plans (first plan 1998).

Several stations of state monitoring programme are located in Alam-Pedja: biological monitoring of rivers, monitoring of beavers and otters, monitoring of birds, monitoring of rare and protected plant communities.

As Alam-Pedja consists one of Estonia's biggest preserved floodplain grasslands, the monitoring of management effectiveness has high priority: changes in floral composition and plant cover, communities of dragonflies, butterflies, bumble-bees and birds are monitored

6 - Additional material

6.1 - Additional reports and documents

6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Aaviksoo, K., Paal, J., Dislis, T. 2000. Mapping of wetland habitat diversity using satellite data and GIS: an example from the Alam-Pedja nature reserve, Estonia. - Proc. Estonian Acad.Sci.Biol.Ecol., 49 (2), 177-193.

Ader, A., Tammur, E. (comp.) 1997. Alam-Pedja looduskaitseala. Alam-Pedja Nature Reserve. Tallinn, 64 pp.

Alam-Pedja looduskaitseala. 2001. Special issue devoted to the Alam-Pedja Nature Reserve. Eesti Loodus 9-10. (in estonian with english summaries)

Alam-Pedja looduskaitseala kaitsekorralduskava 1999-2001. Compiled by NC Society "Kotkas". 1998. Tartu (in estonian).

Alam-Pedja looduskaitseala kaitsekorralduskava 2003-2007. Compiled by NC Society "Kotkas". 2002. Tartu (in estonian).

Kalamees, A. (ed.) 2000. Important Bird Areas in Estonia. – Eesti Loodusfoto, Tartu, 114 pp. Lõhmus, A. et al. 1994. Laeva-Palupõhja linnustikust. Hirundo Supplementum, 35 pp. (in estonian with english summary).

Lõhmus, A., Kalamees, A., Kuus, A., Kuresoo, A., Leito, A., Leivits, A., Luigujõe, L., Ojaste, I., Volke, V. 2001. Bird species of conservation concern in the Estonian protected areas and important bird areas. Hirundo Supplementum 4, 37-167.

Mäemets, A. (ed.) 1968. Eesti järved (Estonian Lakes). Tallinn, 548 pp. (in estonian with english summary)

Orru, M. 1995. Eesti sood (Estonian mires). Eesti Geoloogiakeskus, Tallinn. 240 pp. (in estonian with english sumamry).

Ristkok, J. 1969. Emajõe vanajõed. - Tartu Riikliku Ülikooli Toimetised, 231. Zooloogia-alaseid töid V, lk. 3-87.

6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

<no file available>

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

<no file available>

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

<no file available>

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

<no file available>

v. site management plan

<1 file(s) uploaded>

vi. other published literature

<1 file(s) uploaded>

6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



River with flooded meadow (Herdis Fridolin, 25-05-2016)



Flooded meadow (Herdis Fridolin, 25-05-2016)



River Emajõgi (Herdis



Transition bog (Kaili Viilma,

6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

<1 file(s) unloaded>

Date of Designation 1997-06-05