

# Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 15 May 2019
Update version, previously published on : 1 January 2002

# **Denmark**Kitsissut Avalliit



Designation date 27 January 1988
Site number 388

Coordinates 60°45'04"N 48°25'49"W

Area 4 470,00 ha

# Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

# 1 - Summary

#### Summary

This site is archipelago of rocky islands situated ca. 10 km off the mainland coast. There is a high diversty of breeding seabirds including Thick-billed murre, commom guillemot, Atlantic puffin and white-tailed eagle.

# 2 - Data & location

# 2.1 - Formal data

Compiler 1

Name	David Boertmann
Institution/agency	Aarhus University, Institute for Bioscience
Postal address	Frederiksborgvej 399 DK-4000 Roskilde Denmark
E-mail	dmb@bios.au.dk
Phone	+45 25580687

2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year 1971

To year 2016

2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or Spanish)	Kitsissut Avalliit
Spanisn)	
Unofficial name (optional)	Ydre Kitsissut

2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

	•	
(Update) A Changes to Site boundary Yes   No ○		
(Update) The boundary has been delineated more accurately ✓		
(Update) The boundary has been extended		
(Update) The boundary has been restricted		
(Update) B. Changes to Site area No change to area		

2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

(Update) 6b i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS?

# 2.2 - Site location

#### 2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

b) Digital map/image

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Former maps 0

Boundaries description

The boundary includes all islands and skerries in the archipelago and it is 1-0.5 km from the islands.

#### 2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does the site lie?	Kommune Kujalleq
b) What is the nearest town or population	Arsuk 45 km away, Qassimiut 65 km and Qaqortoq 130 km

#### 2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries? Yes O No  $\odot$ 

b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party?

# 2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha): 4470

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from 4494.14 GIS boundaries

# 2.2.5 - Biogeography

#### Biogeographic regions

Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region
Other scheme (provide name below)	Low Arctic oceanic
WWF Terrestrial Ecoregions	Kalallit Nunaat low Arctic tundra

#### Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

Low Arctic oceanic according to Bay 1997.

# 3 - Why is the Site important?

# 3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

☑ Criterion 1: Representative, rare or unique natural or near-natural wetland types

This site is an archipelago situated far from the mainland coast, and it is generally difficult to sail there Other reasons because of high sea state and often also drift ice, resulting in limited human activity such as disturbance and hunting in the breeding season.

- ☑ Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities
- ☑ Criterion 3 : Biological diversity

Justification

This site holds the highest diversity of breeding seabirds in Greenland.

☑ Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions

# 3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Scientific name	Common name	Criterion 2	Criterion 3	Criterion 4	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	Other status	Justification
Rubus chamaemorus			<b>/</b>		LC •#		rare in Greenland	

The flora and vegetation are very sparse. In sheltered places, patches of grassland and dwarf scrub heath are found, and rare in Greenland cloudberry (Rubus chamaemorus) occurs there.

3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	qua un crite	der erion	Species contributes under criterion 3 5 7 8	Size Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
Birds												
CHORDATA / AVES	GU.	Razorbill	<b>V</b>		<b>2</b> 000						VU on global red list	breeding
AVES	Cepphus grylle	Black Guillemot			<b>2</b> 000			LC ©#				breeding
AVES	Fratercula arctica	Atlantic Puffin	<b>V</b>		<b>2</b> 000			VU ●\$3 ●BF			VU on national red list	breeding
AVES	Fulmarus glacialis	Northern Fulmar			<b>2</b> 000			LC •#				breeding
CHORDATA / AVES	Haliaeetus albicilla	White-tailed Eagle			<b>2</b> 000	2		LC Single	V	<b></b> ✓	VU on national red list	breeding
CHORDATA /	Histrionicus histrionicus	Harlequin Duck			<b>2</b> 000			LC ©SI				moulting
	hyperboreus	Glaucous Gull			<b>2</b> 000			LC				breeding
AVES	Larus marinus	Great Black- backed Gull			<b>2</b> 000			LC ©SS				breeding
CHORDATA / AVES	Rissa tridactyla	Black-legged Kittiwake	77		<b>2</b> 000			VU ©SS ©SSS			VU on national red list	breeding
	mollissima	Common Eider West Greenland population			<b>2</b> 000			NT ©53 ©1587				breeding
CHORDATA / AVES		Common Murre	<b>V</b>		<b>2</b> 000	500 1999		LC Sign			EN on national red list	breeding
CHORDATA / AVES	Uria Iomvia	Thick-billed Murre	<b>V</b>		<b>2</b> 000	2100 2016		LC ©#			VU on national red list	breeding

<sup>1)</sup> Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

# 3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

<no data available>

# 4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

# 4.1 - Ecological character

This site is an extensive archipelago, situated approx. 10 km from the mainland coast. The main islands are Tupersuartuut and Thorstein Islænder and there are a large number of smaller islands and skerries. The islands are extremely exposed and vegetation is only found in the most sheltered locations. Although it is situated in the open water region of West Greenland (with no or only light sea ice in winter), it is often surrounded by the "Storis" (drift ice from East Greenland) in spring and early summer. The islands are situated in a zone with sporadic

# 4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

#### Marine or coastal wetlands

Training of goddolar froudings				
Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
A: Permanent shallow marine waters		1		Representative
D: Rocky marine shores		2		Representative

#### Inland wetlands

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
Fresh water > Lakes and pools >> O: Permanent freshwater lakes		1		Representative

#### Other non-wetland habitat

nor non-word rabitat								
Other non-wetland habitats within the site	Area (ha) if known							
Dwarph scrub heath								

#### 4.3 - Biological components

# 4.3.1 - Plant species

<no data available>

#### 4.3.2 - Animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Pop. size	Period of pop. est.	%occurrence	Position in range /endemism/other
CHORDATA/AVES	Falco peregrinus	Peregrine Falcon				visitor
CHORDATA/AVES	Falco rusticolus	Gyrfalcon				visitor
CHORDATAAVES	Plectrophenax nivalis	Snow Bunting				probably breeding

## 4.4 - Physical components

#### 4.4.1 - Climate

Climatic reg	ion	Subregion
E: Polar climate extremely cold wir summers	ters and	ET: Tundra (Polar tundra, no true summer)

The Köppen-Gieger Climate Classification System do not really apply here. The site is within the low Arctic zone.

#### 4.4.2 - Geomorphic setting

4.2 - Geomorphic setting
a) Mnimum elevation above sea level (in metres)
a) Maximum elevation above sea level (in metres)
Entire river basin
Upper part of river basin ☐
Mddle part of river basin ☐
Lower part of river basin $\square$
More than one river basin $\Box$
Not in river basin ☐
Coastal 🗸

4.4.3	- Soil

		Mineral □	
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change O	Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
		Organic	
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change O	Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
	No availab	ole information 🗹	
Are soil types subject to condition	change as a result of changir ons (e.g., increased salinity or	g hydrological acidification)? Yes ○ No ⑨	
4.4.4 - Water regime			
Water permanence			
Presence?	Changes at RIS update		
Usually permanent water present	No change		
Source of water that maintain			
Presence?  Marine water	Predominant water source	Changes at RIS update  No change	
Water inputs from rainfall		No change	
	_	Ü	
Water destination Presence?	Changes at RIS update		
Marine	No change		
Stability of water regime			
Presence? Water levels fluctuating	Changes at RIS update		
(including tidal)	No change		
Please add any comments	on the water regime and its de	eterminants (if relevant). Use t	his box to explain sites with complex hydrology:
Rainfall includes snow		volimilario (il rolo talli). Goo	in control of plant of the complexity and egg.
4450 "			
4.4.5 - Sediment regim		_	
Signific	cant erosion of sediments occ		
		_	Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Significant accretion of	or deposition of sediments occ		
		_	Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Significant transportation	on of sediments occurs on or the	_	
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change O	Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
Sediment regime is highl	y variable, either seasonally or		
			Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
	Sediment rec	gime unknown 🗹	
4.4.6 Wotor pH			
4.4.6 - Water pH			
		Acid (pH<5.5) □	
	•	,	Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
		I (pH: 5.5-7.4 ) □	
			Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
		aline (pH>7.4) □	
	(Update) Changes		Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
		Unknown 🗹	
4.4.7 - Water salinity			
4.4.7 - Water Sairing	_		
		Fresh (<0.5 g/l)	
			Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
	Mixohaline (brackish)/Mixosali		0 0
		_	Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
		line (30-40 g/l)	
			Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
	Hyperhaline/Hypers		
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change O	Increase O Decrease O Unknown ⊚
		Linknown 📝	

			Eutrophic	
	(Updat	te) Changas	•	Increase O Decrease O Unknown ●
	(-1	Changes	Mesotrophic	Indease O Decrease O Orknown O
	(Undat	te) Changas	•	Increase O Decrease O Unknown ●
	(-	Changes	_	Indease O Decrease O Oriknown 9
	(Undat	te) or	Oligotrophic	0.00
	Орас	<sup>co</sup> Changes	_	Increase O Decrease O Unknown    ●
	(I lodat	to)	Dystrophic	
	(Opuai	<sup>(e)</sup> Changes		Increase O Decrease O Unknown
			Unknown 🗹	
4.4.9 - Features of the s	surrounding are	ea which	may affect the Site	
Please describe whether, a characteristics in the area				lar <sup>O</sup> ii) significantly different <sup>⊚</sup>
Surrounding are	ea has greater urb	anisation o	or development	
Surrounding	area has higher	human pop	ulation density	
			igricultural use	
Surrounding area has sign			_	
Please describe other ways				
			rounding area is open s	ea/ocean.
	, ,	•		
4.5.1 - Ecosystem servi	ces/benefits			
Ecosystem service	Example		Importance/Extent/Significa	nce
Food for humans	Sustenance for (e.g., fish, mollus		Low	
Cultural Canicas				
Cultural Services Ecosystem service	Example	es	Importance/Extent/Significa	nce
Scientific and educational	Major scientific	studysite	Low	
Other ecosystem conico(s)	not included above	n:		
Other ecosystem service(s) Hunting and illegal egg				
Training and mogar ogs	, collocating tan	ioo piaoo	•	
	Within the site:	0-10		
	Outside the site:			
Have studies or assessme ecosys	nts been made of tem services prov	the econonided by this	nic valuation of Ramsar Site?	Unknown O
2300,0				
4.5.2 - Social and cultur	al values			
i) the site provides a mo application of traditional kn use that main		hods of ma	nagement and $\square$	
ii) the site has excep civilizations that have influen				
iii) the ecological charac wit	ter of the wetland h local communiti			
iv) relevant non-material w their existence is strongly lin		ntenance of		
<no available="" data=""></no>				

4.6 - Ecological processes

<no data available>

# 5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

# 5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

#### 5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

Pu				

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Public land (unspecified)	✓	✓

#### 5.1.2 - Management authority

Please list the local office / offices of any agency or organization responsible for managing the site:

Provide the name and title of the person or people with responsibility for the wetland:

Postal address:

Pinngortitamut Avatangiisinullu Naalakkersuisoqarfik
Departementet for Nature and Environment

Karen Motzfeldt, Head of Department for Nature, Climate and Research

Pinngortitamut Avatangiisinullu Naalakkersuisoqarfik
Departementet for Natur og Miljø
Ministry of Nature and Environment
Postboks 1015
3900 Nuuk

E-mail address:

pan@nanoq.gl

# 5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

#### 5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Biological resource use

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Hunting and collecting terrestrial animals	Medium impact	Medium impact	<b>&gt;</b>	No change		No change
Human intrusions and dis	turbance					

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Unspecified/others	Low impact	Low impact	✓	No change		No change

#### Please describe any other threats (optional):

The biological resource use is aimed at seabirds and marine mammals and not terrestrial animals (which is the only option in the scroll down menu). The resource use includes an illegal collection of seabird eggs - especially from the thick-billed murre takes place, and may be a major threat to the population.

# 5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

National legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Area important to wildlife (Anon. 2000)		https://www.govmin.gl/images/sto ries/minerals/rules_for_fieldwor k.pdf	whole
Breeding Bird Reserve		http://lovgivning.gl/lov?rid={56 675241- AOB5-4D4E-89F9-C34D784175 39}	whole
Ramsar site	Kitsissut Avallit	http://lovgivning.gl/lov?rid={15 CBC689- E3AD-470D-B32A-947A250D70 62}	whole

Non-statutory designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Important Bird Area	GL041 Kitsissut Avalliit	http://datazone.birdlife.org/sit e/factsheet/58	whole

#### 5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

la Strict Nature Reserve

protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection	lb Wilderness Area:
protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation	II National Park:
orotected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features	III Natural Monument: p
nagement Area: protected area managed mainly conservation through management intervention	IV Habitat/Species Man
e/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for ndscape/seascape conservation and recreation	VProtected Landscape
Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems	VI Managed Resource F

#### 5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

#### Legal protection

9 p	
Measures	Status
Legal protection	Implemented

#### **Human Activities**

Measures	Status
Research	Implemented

#### Other:

Low level flying and sailing is regulated.

#### 5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? No

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site? Yes O No ●

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No 

processes with another Contracting Party?

# 5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? No need identified

# 5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

Monitoring	Status
Animal community	Proposed
Animal species (please specify)	Implemented

Monitoring proposed by Egevang & Boertmann 2001.

The breeding population of the thick-billed murre is monitored by Greenland Institute of Natural Resources.

# 6 - Additional material

#### 6.1 - Additional reports and documents

#### 6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Anonymous 2000. Rules for fieldwork and reporting regarding mineral resources (excluding hydrocarbons) in Greenland. - Government of Greenland, Bureau of Minerals and Petroleum.

Bay, C. 1997. Floristic division and vegetation zonation of Greenland in relevance to a circumpolar arctic vegetation map: 27-31. In: Proceedings of the second circumpolar arctic vegetation mapping workshop, Arendal, Norway, 19.-24. May 1996. Walker, S. & A.C. Lillie, eds.). - Occasional Paper No. 52, 1997. Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado.

Egevang, C. & Boertmann, D. 2001. The Greenland Ramsar Sites, a status report. – National Environmental Research Institute (NERI), Technical Report No. 346, 96 pp.

Greenland Red List 2007. (Boertmann, D., 2008). Rødliste 2007 over planter og dyr i Grønland. – Danmarks Miljøundersøgelser, Grønlands Hjemmestyre.

Kampp, K. & Falk., K. 1994. The birds of Ydre Kitsissut (Kitsissut Avalliit), Southwest Greenland. – Meddelelser om Grønland, Bioscience 42: 1-25.

#### 6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

<1 file(s) uploaded>

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

v. site management plan

vi. other published literature

#### 6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



View from main island towards southeast. ( Jannie Fries Linnebjerg, 00-07-2009 )



Thick-billed Murre subcolony. ( Jannie Fries Linnebjerg, 00-07-2010 )



View from main island towards east with mainland in the background. ( Jannie Fries Linnebjerg, 00-07 2010 )



View form main island towards northwest. ( Jannie Fries Linnebjerg, 00-07-2011 )



View from main island towards southwest. ( Jannie Fries Linnebjerg, 00-07-



The islands seen from east ( David Boertmann, 29-08-1993 )

#### 6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

#### Designation letter

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Date of Designation 1988-01-27